

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/523,899	RODRIGUEZ ET AL	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Q. JANICE LI	1633	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Q. JANICE LI, PTO.

(2) Jonathan M. Sparks, Appl Rep.

**Date of Interview:** 21 September 2009

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*Objection*

**Claims discussed:**

5

**Prior art documents discussed:**

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The applicant's representative was informed that the amended claim 5 still fails to further limit the base claim as objected on record. Mr. Sparks agreed to cancel claim 5 by an examiner's amendment*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Q. JANICE LI/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1633

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)